



COPY RIGHT



ELSEVIER
SSRN

2023 IJIEMR. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IJIEMR must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works. No Reprint should be done to this paper, all copy right is authenticated to Paper Authors

IJIEMR Transactions, online available on 22 Oct 2023. Link

[:http://www.ijiemr.org/downloads.php?vol=Volume-12&issue=Issue 10](http://www.ijiemr.org/downloads.php?vol=Volume-12&issue=Issue 10)

10.48047/IJIEMR/V12/ISSUE 10/17

Title **Critical Analysis of Deceptive Advertising and its Impact**

Volume 12, ISSUE 10, Pages: 142-150

Paper Authors **Dr. K. Jyothirmayee, Sayana Suseela Rao**



USE THIS BARCODE TO ACCESS YOUR ONLINE PAPER

To Secure Your Paper As Per **UGC Guidelines** We Are Providing A Electronic Bar Code

Critical Analysis of Deceptive Advertising and its Impact

**Dr. K. Jyothirmayee, Asst. Professor, Dept. of Journalism and Mass Communication,
Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur.**

**Sayana Suseela Rao, Research Scholar, Dept. of Journalism and Mass Communication, Krishna
University, Machilipatnam.**

Abstract:

Advertising plays an essential role in shaping social norms, values, and overall awareness within today's modern information society. Ethics serve as the foundation of journalism, with advertising and marketing being integral components. Ethical guidelines serve as navigational markers that guide us toward the right and best course of action in various situations. While deceptive advertising might temporarily attract attention and entice individuals, its falsehood becomes evident over time, leading to a loss of credibility and reputation. Deceptive advertising, a common but unethical practice, is employed by certain business entities aiming to artificially boost demand for their products. This form of misleading promotion has gained prominence, particularly through media channels. Its intent is to allure, manipulate, and persuade potential and existing customers to support a product or trade. Critics have recently observed that advertising often employs false narratives, aggressive tactics, and promotes self-doubt, leading to its characterization as "the hidden persuader." Some view advertising as a force that erodes societal values, fueling materialism, cynicism, and irrational behaviors. It has been scrutinized for reinforcing social competition, anxiety, and a diminished sense of self-worth. Advertising faces more criticism than other professions due to its widespread presence and visibility.

The one-sided nature of advertising, laden with the potential for deception, has been criticized for prioritizing self-interest over objective and neutral product information. It can manipulate perceptions and influence individuals to align with advertisers' interests. The present study examines the impact of deceptive advertising on consumers and explores how organizations employ tactics to exert pressure on customers for purchasing. Additionally, the study evaluates the extent to which companies uphold ethical values in their advertising practices and sheds light on

instances where they exploit societal trust. In conclusion, advertising holds a dual role in our society - as a powerful tool for shaping perspectives and as a potential source of ethical concerns. Understanding the complexities and implications of advertising ethics is crucial as we navigate the intricate relationship between commerce, communication, and societal values.

Key words:

Deceptive advertising, moral and ethical values, civil society, hidden persuader, opposed to objective product information

Introduction:

Deceptive advertising, a practice that has persisted over time, has undergone gradual changes due to evolving rules, regulations, and codes of conduct. Policy makers have diligently crafted a comprehensive framework of laws and regulations governing this form of advertising. In the early 1970s, the fragrance industry began to flourish and explore diverse communication avenues. In their pursuit of expanded sales, they embraced misleading concepts, asserting, for instance, that women's perfumes possessed the ability to captivate men. This assertion, although inaccurate, was employed to attract a wide spectrum of customers. Deceptive advertising, a strategy with deep historical roots, remains entrenched in the

business world's practices. This technique is prevalent across industries, including retail trading, and is especially favored by companies operating in fiercely competitive markets. Such companies employ deceptive advertising to create differentiating statements and gain an edge over rivals. However, this focus on market share and profitability often overshadows genuine customer concerns, leading consumers to assess products or services based on misleading attributes.

Furthermore, deceptive advertising carries implications for companies themselves. It is primarily viable for businesses focused on one-time transactions, neglecting the need for sustained customer relationships. Research suggests that immediate gains may boost profits temporarily, but these fleeting

benefits do not translate into substantial long-term gains. A more widely accepted definition of deceptive advertising asserts that it encompasses acts, claims, or messages that prompt reasonable consumers to make decisions they otherwise wouldn't, inducing beliefs about products, brands, or manufacturers that lack verifiable authenticity or hold the potential to generate distress. Consequently, the repercussions of deceptive advertising extend not only to companies employing this strategy but also to their customers. This complex dynamic shapes varying perceptions and relationships between companies and consumers. Our research endeavors to delve into customer reactions upon discovering exposure to deceptive advertising.

This study aims to explore diverse interpretations of deceptive advertising and its interplay with customer interactions. It seeks to analyze consumers' responses to encountering deceptive advertising, although it refrains from delving into deceptive techniques such as sales promotions, public relations, or branding. By shedding light on customers' reactions within the context of

deceptive advertising, we endeavor to contribute valuable insights to the ongoing discourse surrounding advertising ethics and consumer perceptions.

Review of literature:

The delineation of the relationship between advertising, marketing, and public relations remains somewhat nebulous. Marketing is the art of forging connections between producers of products, services, or ideas and their existing or prospective customers. Within this framework, advertising functions as an integral component of an overarching marketing strategy, encompassing the compensated promotion of goods, services, concepts, and companies by way of a clearly identified sponsor. However, as advertising becomes a more conspicuous facet of our cultural milieu, the context of a globally interconnected production system often leads to a diminishing comprehension of the essence of the advertised goods. Regrettably, the proliferation of intricate technological tools and information systems can potentially inundate marketing managers, diverting their focus from the

creativity and discernment that are pivotal to successful advertising strategies. While interpretations of advertising abound, one of the most influential perspectives harks back to Vance Packard's critical assessment of the advertising industry in his seminal work, "The Hidden Persuaders," published in 1957. Packard scrutinized the employment of psychoanalysis and other scientific methodologies aimed at deciphering human behavior, particularly in campaigns of persuasion and manipulation. Reflecting on this, P.T. Barnum remarked that "people like to be humbugged," adding that while deceptive advertising might succeed in enticing numerous buyers initially, its ruse would gradually be unveiled, leading to disavowal.

Drawing parallels to Packard's stance, some, like Twitchel, harbor bleak apprehensions about the implications of modern advertising for human freedoms, particularly freedom of speech. He posits that advertisers assume the role of primary censors of media content in the United States. *Adbusters*, a monthly publication, endeavors to raise consumer awareness by critiquing, deconstructing, and

satirizing advertisements. Amid these discussions, Twitchel contemplates whether advertising is inherently unethical, ultimately contending that it is better understood as amoral rather than immoral. Advertisers, first and foremost, seek to sell products; while their actions might inadvertently reinforce stereotypes or exploit insecurities, their primary objective remains sales maximization. Thus, if portraying strong, independent women enhances product sales, advertisers will do so—early instances of this approach include ads for Charlie perfume, which depicted a self-assured businesswoman to whom the male figures in the advertisements played subservient roles (albeit within the parameters of youth, slimness, and beauty). In a broader academic context, Richard Pollay's 1986 taxonomy encapsulates critical contentions regarding advertising. It can be distilled into two multifaceted assertions: a) advertising is intrusive, pervasive, and impactful, reinforcing materialism, cynicism, irrationality, selfishness, anxiety, social competition, sexual fixation, powerlessness, or a decline in self-respect; and

b) its core function lies in glorifying the materialistic aspects of consumption by exploiting ambition-driven motivations and anxieties of emulation, often reducing individuals of all ages and genders to the status of irrational consumers. Pollay concludes that advertising, in our current epoch, has morphed into a ritualistic societal guide, promulgating notions concerning style, morality, and conduct. From a feminist standpoint, analysts argue that certain forms of advertising inflict harm by perpetuating the desire for unnaturally slender bodies among young girls, inadvertently exacerbating conditions like anorexia and bulimia.

In pursuit of comprehending the ramifications of such intricate dynamics, our research seeks to unearth the responses of customers upon realizing exposure to deceptive advertising. Delving into a myriad of definitions of deceptive advertising and its intricate nexus with customer interactions, our study illuminates the intricate interplay between advertising and its far-reaching effects. While avoiding an exploration of deceptive techniques like sales promotions,

public relations, or branding, our research endeavors to cast a spotlight on the multidimensional dimensions of consumer reactions to deceptive advertising, thus enriching the ongoing discourse on advertising ethics and the multifaceted perceptions of consumers.

Methodology

The aim of the study is to critically analyze the impact of deceptive advertising on consumers.

1. To investigate how the deception, fraud, puffery advertising gaining foothold in the market.
 2. To analyze the impact of false advertising on customers.
3. To explore the impact of legal provisions and other code of conduct on deceptive advertising. Eliciting the clients' responses concerning deceptive advertising and their engagements regarding ethical standards and codes of conduct is essential. Investigating the individuals chiefly accountable for the ethical essence of advertisements and delving into the motivations behind their roles. Exploring the emotional factors influenced by deceptive advertising and



scrutinizing the clients' anticipations from advertising agencies in terms of the ethical content within advertising messages helps in understanding the effects. Unearthing the rationale underlying clients' expectations from advertising agencies in relation to the ethical content conveyed through advertising messages. The research strategy employed a comprehensive array of in-depth one-on-one interviews with clients. In the course of these interviews, certain discussions were also extended beyond the predefined questions, often centering on the significance of corporate culture in relation to ethical considerations. In line with the qualitative nature of the study, the researcher assumed the pivotal role of principal data collector. It is noteworthy, however, that prevailing perceptions held by clients, agencies, and the realm of advertising ethics were all derived from the researcher's personal experiences.

Review Analysis:

This research paper delves into the realm of deceptive advertising, a practice characterized by its propensity to mislead in

significant ways (Simon). This comprehensive definition encapsulates a spectrum of misleading advertisements across various mediums, including print, television, radio, outdoor settings, and direct mailings. The scope extends to unconventional forms of advertising such as transportation ads and employs an array of tools like imagery, trade names, displays, labels, sales discourse, letters, price lists, and catalogs. The multifaceted toolkit available to advertisers underscores their capacity to manipulate and beguile consumers into purchasing products unintended for. In contrast to typical tort cases adjudicated within courtrooms, advertising claims are often adjudicated by governmental entities, notably the Federal Trade Commission (FTC). These agencies are better equipped to address these matters, boasting the requisite expertise to render well-informed decisions.

Unethical practices in advertising have manifested within the market landscape. An illustrative instance pertains to alcohol, which has been classified as a Group 1 carcinogen by the International Agency on

Research for Cancer (IARC), signifying its potential to induce cancer. Despite this, alcohol beverage companies have abided by self-regulation, raising questions about their motivations and adherence to ethical principles. Drawing parallels to tobacco products, which faced advertising bans due to health concerns, other industries with controversial products have been influenced by similar threats. The US and European governments initiated regulations on tobacco advertising in response to mounting evidence of health hazards, ultimately leading to comprehensive bans. This pattern underscores the capacity of advertising bans to effect change.

Critics contend that advertising often hinges on puffery, employing exaggerated claims that do not necessarily reflect reality. However, the distinction between puffery and deception can become blurry, as demonstrated by instances where false claims were exposed. Additionally, copying and imitation of ideas within the advertising industry has sparked ethical debates, with some justifying it as inspiration while others label it as plagiarism. The emergence of

advertorials, combining elements of advertisement and editorial content, further blurs ethical lines. Such content leverages the format and language of news stories or articles to promote goods, services, or institutions.

This complex landscape highlights the diversity of deceptive advertising, ranging from issues with obesity and fast food to false prescription drug claims, misleading ads, and subliminal messaging. To navigate these ethical dilemmas, advertisers must consider a range of questions pertaining to target audiences, advertised content, messaging, and tone. This nuanced decision-making process is influenced by numerous factors, including a company's reputation, objectives, legal and societal context, and prevailing market conditions. These considerations become paramount to crafting advertisements that evade controversy and uphold ethical standards

Conclusion:

Advertising stands as an impersonal presentation, wherein producers or marketers convey a standardized or commonly shared

message regarding the virtues, price, and availability of a product or service. The bedrock of ethical integrity within the advertising industry is vested in the conscientious and principled conduct of advertising professionals themselves. Their duty extends beyond serving the interests of those funding their work; it encompasses a profound obligation to honor and uphold the rights and well-being of their audiences, as well as to champion collective welfare.

The responsibility to disseminate and adhere to codes of professional ethics lies with media directors and managers facilitating advertising. This underscores the vital role of public engagement. Public representatives should actively participate in formulating, implementing, and periodically revising ethical codes. Furthermore, governmental authorities bear a critical role in this framework. Regulatory measures should address matters such as the volume of advertising, particularly in broadcast media, and the content of advertising targeting susceptible groups, including children and the elderly. In instances where unethical practices have taken root, advertisers guided

by conscience may be compelled to undertake personal sacrifices to rectify them.

Nonetheless, advertising assumes a pivotal role within contemporary society, especially within the context of a burgeoning market economy. Therefore, advertising professionals and all stakeholders involved in its inception and dissemination are entrusted with the mission to eradicate its socially deleterious dimensions. Their charge is to uphold elevated ethical standards encompassing truthfulness, human dignity, and social responsibility. By doing so, they pave the way for substantial contributions to human advancement and the greater communal good.

References:

1. Alba, J. W & Hutchinson (1987) dimensions of consumer expertise, Journal of consumer research
2. Ahmed ,S& Ashfaq (2013) Impact of advertising on consumer buying behavior through persuasiveness, brand image and celebrity endorsement. Global media Journal.
3. Aditya R.N (2010) "Psychology and



Marketing- the psychology of deception in marketing. A conceptual framework for research and practice.

4. Aurelie Maysomnave & Noemie Delarme, Deceptive advertising and consumer reactions
5. William M O'Bar Ethics and advertising.
6. Paul Francis Reilley Advertising ethics: A client perspective.
7. Attas, D(1999) What's wrong with deceptive advertising? Journal of Business Ethics.
8. Bryman,A & Bell,E (2007) business research Methods(Oxford University press)
9. Burke,R.R, De Sarbo,W.S, Oliver R.L. and Robertsin, T.S(1988) deceptive by implication; An experimental Investigation, Journal of consumer research.