International Journal for Innovative Engineering and Management Research
PEER REVIEWED OPEN ACCESS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
www.ijiemr.org

NEUROSCIENCE-BASED INSIGHTS INTO CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR IN MARKETING

S. Revathi Sharan[1], Dr. S. Prabakaran[2]

[1]Ph.D. Research Scholar, PG & Research Department of Commerce, Dharmamurthi Rao Bahadur Calavala Cunnan Chetty's Hindu College, Pattabiram, Chennai – 600072

[2]Research Supervisor and Guide, PG & Research Department of Commerce, Dharmamurthi Rao Bahadur Calavala Cunnan Chetty's Hindu College, Pattabiram, Chennai – 600072

ABSTRACT

Neurobranding represents an emerging area within neuromarketing that applies insights from neuroscience to understand how consumers perceive, evaluate, remember, and respond to brands. Unlike conventional marketing approaches that frequently depend on consumers' conscious responses and self-reported preferences, neurobranding focuses on the underlying cognitive, emotional, and physiological processes associated with consumer decision-making.

This study examines the effectiveness of neurobranding stimuli in influencing subconscious consumer engagement, attention, emotional arousal, brand memory, choice, and willingness to pay. A mixed-methods research design was employed with 54 adult consumers between 18 and 55 years of age. The study incorporated electroencephalography (EEG), eye tracking, biometric measures, behavioral memory assessments, choice measures, and willingness-to-pay measures. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) was additionally conducted with a feasibility-based subsample of 18 participants.

The findings indicate that neurobranding stimuli generated significantly greater motivational engagement, attentional processing, emotional arousal, brand-related neural activation, memory retention, consumer preference, and willingness to pay than traditional branding stimuli. Neurobranding exposure increased immediate brand recall by 27% and delayed recall by 19%, while willingness to pay increased by 12%. The findings provide evidence that integrating emotional, sensory, and narrative elements into branding can strengthen consumer-brand relationships and contribute to brand equity. At the same time, the study highlights important ethical concerns relating to consumer autonomy, transparency, and the responsible application of neuroscience in marketing.

Keywords: Neurobranding, Neuromarketing, Consumer neuroscience, Brand engagement, Consumer behavior, Brand memory, Emotional marketing

I. INTRODUCTION

Contemporary consumers operate in an increasingly saturated communication environment. Individuals are exposed to a large number of advertisements, brand messages, digital interactions, and promotional stimuli on a daily basis. As a result, marketers face significant

challenges in capturing and sustaining consumer attention. Traditional marketing approaches generally emphasize conscious attitudes, rational evaluation, stated preferences, and behavioral intentions. However, these approaches may not fully explain the subconscious processes that influence consumer decisions.

Neurobranding has emerged as an approach that seeks to address this limitation by examining consumers' responses to different elements of a brand. These elements may include logos, colors, sounds, packaging, visual design, narratives, emotional appeals, and other sensory cues. By examining neurological, physiological, and behavioral responses, marketers can obtain deeper insights into how consumers process brand-related information.

The underlying premise of neurobranding is that consumer responses are influenced not only by deliberate reasoning but also by automatic emotional, cognitive, and sensory processes. Understanding these processes can help organizations design branding strategies that create stronger emotional connections, improve brand memorability, and influence consumer preferences.

The present study investigates the effectiveness of neurobranding compared with traditional branding. It examines the influence of neurobranding stimuli on subconscious engagement, attention, emotional arousal, memory, consumer choice, and willingness to pay. The study integrates neuroscience-based measurements with behavioral outcomes to provide a multidimensional assessment of consumer responses.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Emotional Processes in Consumer Decision-Making

Consumer decision-making is not exclusively based on rational evaluation. Emotional responses play an important role in determining how consumers perceive brands, develop preferences, and make purchasing decisions. Neuroscientific research suggests that emotional and motivational processes contribute substantially to brand evaluation and consumer choice.

Brand loyalty and trust can involve neural processes associated with emotional evaluation and reward. Consequently, understanding consumers' emotional responses may provide valuable insights into the development of strong and enduring brand relationships.

Neurobranding and Consumer Preference

Neurobranding builds upon the broader field of consumer neuroscience by examining how brand-related stimuli influence cognitive and emotional processing. Plassmann et al. (2012) emphasize the importance of subconscious memory encoding, emotional resonance, and sensory engagement in shaping brand preference.

Rather than relying exclusively on what consumers report about a brand, neurobranding attempts to examine how consumers actually respond to brand stimuli at neurological and physiological levels. This approach can therefore complement conventional market research methods.

Illustrative Brand Applications

Several well-known brands provide examples of how emotional, sensory, and narrative elements can contribute to brand engagement.

Coca-Cola and Pepsi: Previous fMRI research involving Coca-Cola and Pepsi demonstrated differences in neural responses when brand information was known, suggesting that brand associations can influence consumer responses independently of sensory product characteristics.

Apple: Apple's minimalist visual identity, product design, and storytelling have contributed to an identity-oriented relationship between consumers and the brand.

Nike: Nike's motivational narratives and advertising campaigns emphasize achievement, determination, and self-efficacy, potentially strengthening consumers' emotional identification with the brand.

These examples illustrate the potential importance of emotional and sensory dimensions in brand communication.

III. RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

Primary Hypothesis

H1: Consumers exposed to neurobranding-optimized stimuli incorporating emotional cues, sensory triggers, and storytelling will demonstrate higher subconscious engagement and stronger brand memory than consumers exposed to traditional branding stimuli.

Null Hypothesis

H0: There is no significant difference in subconscious engagement or brand memory between consumers exposed to neurobranding stimuli and those exposed to traditional branding stimuli.

Sub-Hypotheses

H1a – EEG: Neurobranding stimuli will produce greater frontal alpha asymmetry, indicating stronger approach-oriented motivation, along with increased beta/gamma activity associated with attentional engagement.

H1b – fMRI: Neurobranding stimuli will generate stronger BOLD activation in brain regions associated with valuation, emotion, and memory, including the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), striatum, amygdala, and hippocampus.

H1c – Eye Tracking: Neurobranding stimuli will result in longer fixation durations and shorter time-to-first-fixation on important brand assets.

H1d – Biometrics: Neurobranding stimuli will produce higher skin conductance responses, reduced heart-rate variability (HRV) associated with task engagement, and more frequent positive facial expressions.

H1e – Behavioral Memory: Neurobranding stimuli will result in higher levels of brand recall, recognition, consumer choice, and willingness to pay.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

The study adopted a mixed-methods neuroscientific research design to examine consumer responses to neurobranding and traditional branding stimuli. The approach combined neurological, physiological, visual-attention, and behavioral measures.

This multidimensional approach enabled the study to examine consumer responses across different levels of processing, ranging from subconscious neurological activity to observable consumer behavior.

Sampling Design

The study consisted of 54 consumers. A purposive sampling technique was employed.

A feasibility-based subsample of 18 participants was included for the fMRI component of the research.

Measures Used

The study employed the following primary measures:

- Electroencephalography (EEG)
- Eye tracking
- Electrodermal activity (EDA)
- Heart rate (HR)
- Heart-rate variability (HRV)
- Facial coding

- Behavioral brand recall
- Brand recognition
- Consumer choice
- Willingness to pay (WTP)

fMRI was employed as a secondary measure within the subsample.

Study Outcomes

The principal outcomes examined in the study were:

1. Subconscious consumer engagement
2. Attention toward brand assets
3. Emotional arousal
4. Brand memory
5. Consumer choice
6. Willingness to pay

V. DATA ANALYSIS

The collected data were analyzed using statistical techniques appropriate for the different categories of measures.

For EEG and physiological measures, mixed-effects models were employed to examine within-subject contrasts. Behavioral recall and recognition measures were analyzed using paired t-tests, while discrete choice modeling was used to examine consumer preference.

Mediation analysis was also considered to examine the relationship between neurological responses and behavioral outcomes.

The principal statistical findings are summarized below.

International Journal for Innovative Engineering and Management Research
PEER REVIEWED OPEN ACCESS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
www.ijiemr.org

Measure	Statistical Result	p-value	Effect Size	Interpretation
EEG – Motivational Engagement	F(1,41) = 8.72	p < 0.01	η^2 = 0.18	Significant increase in motivational engagement
EEG – Attention	F(1,41) = 5.34	p < 0.05	η^2 = 0.12	Stronger attentional processing
fMRI (n=10)	F(1,9) = 6.89	p < 0.05	η^2 = 0.21	Stronger valuation and memory-related activation
Eye Tracking	F(1,41) = 10.56	p < 0.01	η^2 = 0.20	Longer fixation on brand assets
Biometrics	Wilks' Λ = 0.82; F(2,40) = 4.34	p < 0.05	η^2 = 0.14	Higher physiological arousal

Measure	Statistical Result	p-value	Effect Size	Interpretation
Behavioral Memory	F(1,41) = 12.45	p < 0.01	η^2 = 0.23	Improved brand recall
Choice/WTP	F(1,41) = 9.87	p < 0.01	η^2 = 0.19	Greater preference and willingness to pay

Source: Primary Data

VI. RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

EEG Findings

The repeated-measures ANOVA revealed significantly greater frontal alpha asymmetry for neurobranding stimuli compared with the control condition, **$F(1,41) = 8.72, p < 0.01, \eta^2 = 0.18$**.

The result indicates a stronger approach-oriented motivational response toward neurobranding stimuli. In practical terms, participants appeared to be more psychologically attracted to the neurobranding-oriented brand communication.

Beta/gamma activity was also significantly higher under neurobranding conditions, **$F(1,41) = 5.34$, $p < 0.05$, $\eta^2 = 0.12$**.

This finding indicates stronger attentional and cognitive processing. Thus, neurobranding appeared not only to attract participants' attention but also to increase their cognitive engagement with the brand stimuli.

fMRI Findings

The fMRI analysis of the 10-participant subsample demonstrated significantly greater activation in the **ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), ventral striatum, and hippocampus** under neurobranding conditions, **$F(1,9) = 6.89$, $p < 0.05$, $\eta^2 = 0.21$**.

These areas are associated with processes involving value evaluation, reward-related processing, and memory. The findings therefore suggest that neurobranding stimuli may contribute both to enhanced perceived brand value and stronger encoding of brand-related information.

However, because the fMRI analysis was conducted on only 10 participants, these results should be interpreted within the feasibility-based scope of the study.

Eye-Tracking Findings

Participants demonstrated longer fixation durations on logos and taglines when exposed to neurobranding stimuli. Mean fixation duration increased from **1.5 seconds under traditional branding to 2.3 seconds under neurobranding**, with a significant statistical difference, **$F(1,41) = 10.56$, $p < 0.01$, $\eta^2 = 0.20$**.

Longer fixation durations indicate that neurobranding successfully directed visual attention toward important brand elements. Sustained attention to logos and taglines may increase the opportunity for consumers to encode and subsequently recall brand information.

Biometric Findings

The multivariate analysis of skin conductance response and heart-rate variability demonstrated significantly greater physiological arousal under neurobranding conditions, **Wilks' $\Lambda = 0.82$, $F(2,40) = 4.34$, $p < 0.05$, $\eta^2 = 0.14$**.

The increase in skin conductance and reduction in HRV indicate stronger physiological engagement during exposure to neurobranding stimuli. These responses suggest that the

emotional and sensory components incorporated into neurobranding generated greater physiological arousal than traditional branding stimuli.

Behavioral Memory Outcomes

The 2 × 2 repeated-measures ANOVA examining condition and time revealed significantly higher brand recall under neurobranding conditions.

Immediate recall improved by **27%**, while delayed recall improved by **19%**, with **$F(1,41) = 12.45$, $p < 0.01$, $\eta^2 = 0.23$**.

These results suggest that neurobranding contributed to both immediate encoding and longer-term retention of brand information. Stronger memory associations can potentially support the development of enduring brand awareness and recognition.

Consumer Choice and Willingness to Pay

Consumer choice and willingness to pay also demonstrated significant differences in favor of neurobranding stimuli, **$F(1,41) = 9.87$, $p < 0.01$, $\eta^2 = 0.19$**.

The findings indicate that the effects of neurobranding extended beyond attention and memory to observable consumer preference. Willingness to pay was **12% higher** for neurobranding brands.

This result demonstrates the potential commercial relevance of neurobranding, as stronger emotional and cognitive engagement was accompanied by greater consumer valuation.

VII. INTEGRATED FINDINGS

The statistical findings collectively indicate that neurobranding performed better than traditional branding across multiple dimensions of consumer processing.

The principal findings can be grouped into four areas:

Cognitive Engagement

EEG and eye-tracking results demonstrated stronger attentional and motivational engagement with neurobranding stimuli.

Emotional and Physiological Arousal

Biometric measures indicated stronger physiological responses, suggesting greater emotional involvement with neurobranding content.

Neural Valuation and Memory

The fMRI findings indicated stronger activity in regions associated with valuation and memory, while behavioral measures demonstrated improved brand recall.

Consumer Decision-Making

Neurobranding generated stronger consumer choice and a higher willingness to pay.

Overall, the findings indicate that neurobranding may be effective not only in attracting consumer attention but also in generating emotional engagement, strengthening brand memory, and influencing purchase-related outcomes.

VIII. DISCUSSION ON THE FINDINGS

The findings provide support for the primary hypothesis that neurobranding-optimized stimuli can generate stronger consumer engagement and brand memory than traditional branding stimuli.

Across neurological, physiological, visual-attention, and behavioral measures, participants demonstrated more pronounced responses to neurobranding stimuli. The consistency of these findings across multiple measurement techniques strengthens the overall interpretation that emotional, sensory, and narrative components can play an important role in consumer-brand processing.

The EEG findings demonstrated greater motivational and attentional engagement, while the eye-tracking results showed that participants devoted greater visual attention to important brand assets. The biometric findings further demonstrated stronger physiological arousal.

The behavioral results are particularly important from a marketing perspective. Improvements in immediate and delayed brand recall indicate that neurobranding may support the development of stronger and more durable brand associations. Furthermore, the increase in consumer choice and willingness to pay suggests that neurological and emotional engagement can be associated with economically relevant consumer outcomes.

Nevertheless, the results should be interpreted within the methodological scope of the study. The sample consisted of 54 participants selected through purposive sampling, and the fMRI component included only 10 participants. Consequently, the findings provide meaningful evidence within the study context but should not automatically be generalized to all consumer populations.

IX. THEORETICAL IMPLICATIONS

The study contributes to the understanding of consumer behavior by highlighting the importance of subconscious and emotional processes in brand evaluation.

First, the findings are consistent with the broader **dual-process perspective**, which recognizes that consumer decisions can involve both deliberate cognitive processes and more automatic emotional processes.

Second, the study demonstrates the potential value of combining neuroscience-based measures with conventional behavioral measures. Self-reported consumer attitudes may not always capture subconscious responses. Neurobranding research can therefore provide an additional layer of information by examining neurological and physiological reactions.

Third, the findings suggest that consumer neuroscience may help explain how emotional engagement, attention, memory, and perceived value interact in the development of brand preference.

X. PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

The findings provide several implications for marketing practitioners.

Brand Strategy

Organizations can consider incorporating emotional cues, sensory elements, storytelling, and distinctive visual assets into brand communication. Such elements may improve consumer attention and strengthen brand associations.

Advertising

Advertising campaigns can use emotionally engaging narratives and sensory cues to increase attention and encourage deeper processing of brand messages.

Packaging and Visual Identity

Brand logos, packaging, typography, colors, and other visual elements can be evaluated in terms of their ability to attract and sustain consumer attention.

Brand Differentiation

In highly competitive markets, emotional and sensory brand experiences can help organizations differentiate themselves from competitors and create stronger brand equity.

Consumer Value

The observed increase in willingness to pay suggests that effective neurobranding strategies may contribute not only to brand awareness but also to consumers' perceived economic value of a brand.

XI. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Although neurobranding provides opportunities for more effective marketing, its application also creates important ethical challenges.

Consumer Autonomy

The ability to study subconscious consumer responses raises concerns regarding the possibility of manipulating consumers without their full awareness. Marketing organizations should ensure that neurobranding techniques do not undermine consumers' ability to make autonomous decisions.

Transparency

Organizations using neuroscience-based marketing research should maintain transparency regarding how consumer information is collected, analyzed, and applied.

Responsible Use of Neuroscience

Neuroscience should be used as a tool for understanding consumer behavior rather than as a mechanism for exploiting subconscious vulnerabilities. Ethical standards should therefore accompany the development and implementation of neurobranding strategies.

XII. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that neurobranding can generate stronger consumer responses than traditional branding across neurological, physiological, visual-attention, memory, and behavioral dimensions.

The results indicate that neurobranding stimuli produced greater motivational and attentional engagement, stronger physiological arousal, and greater neural activation in areas associated with valuation and memory. Participants also demonstrated longer fixation on important brand assets, improved immediate and delayed brand recall, stronger consumer preference, and a 12% increase in willingness to pay.

The findings suggest that integrating emotional cues, sensory elements, and storytelling into brand communication can contribute to stronger consumer engagement and more enduring brand associations. Neurobranding therefore represents a potentially valuable approach for organizations seeking to strengthen brand equity, differentiation, and consumer loyalty.

At the same time, the application of neuroscience to marketing requires careful consideration of ethical principles. Consumer autonomy, transparency, privacy, and responsible use of neuroscientific insights should remain central to neurobranding practices.

Future research can build upon these findings using larger and more diverse samples, broader consumer segments, and additional experimental settings. Such research could provide further insight into how neurological responses translate into long-term consumer behavior and brand performance.

XIII. REFERENCES

1. Ariely, D., & Berns, G. S. (2010). Neuromarketing: The hope and hype of neuroimaging in business. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 11(4), 284–292.
2. Bercea, M. D. (2013). Quantitative versus qualitative in neuromarketing research. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 92, 661–665.
3. Harris, J. M., Ciorciari, J., & Gountas, J. (2018). Consumer neuroscience for marketing researchers. Journal of Consumer Behaviour, 17(3), 239–252.
4. Hubert, M., & Kenning, P. (2008). A current overview of consumer neuroscience. Journal of Consumer Behaviour, 7(4–5), 272–292.
5. Kenning, P., & Plassmann, H. (2008). How neuroscience can inform consumer research. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering, 16(6), 532–538.
6. Lindstrom, M. (2009). Buyology: Truth and Lies About Why We Buy. Crown Business.
7. Morin, C. (2011). Neuromarketing: The new science of consumer behavior. Society, 48(2), 131–135.
8. Plassmann, H., Ramsøy, T. Z., & Milosavljevic, M. (2012). Branding the brain: A critical review and outlook. Journal of Consumer Psychology, 22(1), 18–36.
9. Pozharliev, R., Verbeke, W. J., & Bagozzi, R. P. (2017). Social consumer neuroscience: Neurophysiological and psychological mechanisms of social influence in consumer decisions. Frontiers in Psychology, 8, 674.
10. Smidts, A., Hsu, M., Sanfey, A. G., Boksem, M. A. S., Ebstein, R. B., Huettel, S. A., et al. (2014). Advancing consumer neuroscience. Marketing Letters, 25(3), 257–267.
11. Venkatraman, V., Clithero, J. A., Fitzsimons, G. J., & Huettel, S. A. (2012). New scanner data for brand marketers: How neuroscience can help better understand differences in brand preferences. Journal of Consumer Psychology, 22(1), 143–153.
12. Yoon, C., Gutchess, A. H., Feinberg, F., & Polk, T. A. (2006). A functional magnetic resonance imaging study of neural dissociations between brand and person judgments. Journal of Consumer Research, 33(1), 31–40.