



Resistance from Below: A Social History of Everyday Defiance in Colonial India, 1857–1947

Dr. Tanuja Kumari

(Assistant Professor) S.S.L.N.T.M. College, Dhanbad,
Jharkhand, India

Abstract

The history of anti-colonial resistance in India is often told through the activities of elite nationalist leaders, constitutional debates, and organized political movements. Yet, beneath these visible layers operated an equally powerful world of everyday defiance—quiet acts by peasants, workers, women, tribal groups, and marginalized castes who challenged the colonial order in ways that were subtle, local, and often unrecorded. This research paper examines these “subaltern” forms of resistance from 1857 to 1947, focusing on how ordinary people negotiated, contested, and reinterpreted colonial power. Incorporating approaches from subaltern studies and social history, this 3500-word analysis investigates everyday acts such as tax refusal, grain hoarding, migration, rumor circulation, folk songs, local symbols, and collective protests. Drawing upon the works of Ranajit Guha, Shahid Amin, David Hardiman, and other historians, the paper reconstructs the lived experience of colonial domination and resistance.

The study concludes that everyday resistance, though often invisible in official archives, played a decisive role in undermining colonial authority by eroding its legitimacy, fracturing its administrative control, and empowering local communities (Guha, *Elementary Aspects*, 1983, pp. 12–15). The paper demonstrates that India’s freedom was not merely the outcome of elite nationalism, but a result of countless everyday acts performed by those whose names seldom appear in history textbooks.

Introduction

The writing of Indian history has undergone transformative shifts in the last five decades. From a narrative dominated by political elites, the discipline gradually began to embrace the perspectives of workers, peasants, women, and socially marginalized communities. This shift was shaped significantly by the arrival of the Subaltern Studies collective in the 1980s, which

argued that the voices of ordinary people were suppressed in colonial archives and nationalist historiography alike (Guha, *Subaltern Studies I*, 1982, pp. 1–3).

This research paper focuses on everyday resistance, a theme that runs parallel to larger anti-colonial movements but remains less explored. Unlike organized revolts such as the 1857 Revolt, Quit India Movement, or tribal uprisings, everyday resistance refers to subtle, continuous acts through which people contested colonial authority without engaging in open rebellion.

Examples include:

- refusing to pay rents or taxes
- migrating to avoid forced labor
- spreading rumors to destabilize colonial legitimacy
- using songs, festivals, and symbols to mock colonial power
- negotiating with landlords and moneylenders
- sabotaging work, deserting plantations, or slowing production

Such everyday acts reveal the complex relationship between domination and resistance. James C. Scott described these as “the weapons of the weak”—forms of dissent practiced by marginalized people who lacked access to formal political power (Scott, *Weapons of the Weak*, 1985, pp. 29–32).

This paper contextualizes such acts within key phases of colonial rule, especially after 1857, when the British tightened revenue collection, extended plantation systems, and expanded legal mechanisms to control rural India (Hardiman, *Peasant Resistance*, 1992, pp. 47–50). The aim is not merely to catalogue episodes of resistance, but to reveal how the colonial state was constantly negotiating with rural society at every level.

Observations

The Revolt of 1857 is often remembered as a large-scale military rebellion. Yet, many peasants also resisted through everyday actions such as refusing to provide supplies to British troops, hiding grains, or misleading British patrols (Amin, Event, Metaphor, Memory, 1995, pp. 41–44).

In many villages of Awadh, peasants quietly diverted grain stocks to rebel fighters while claiming scarcity to colonial officials. These small acts contributed significantly to the logistical difficulties faced by the British forces.

Bihar and Bengal's indigo production system depended heavily on coercion. Planters forced peasants to cultivate indigo on good agricultural land through contracts, advances, and intimidation. Everyday resistance was widespread:

- peasants planted indigo late to reduce crop quality
- they allowed cattle to graze on indigo fields
- they delayed repayment of advances
- they secretly met to coordinate actions against planters

As documented by historian S. B. Chaudhuri, planters repeatedly complained that “peasants appear obedient but undermine plantation schedules silently” (Chaudhuri, Civil Rebellion, 1957, pp. 92–94). These acts culminated in the Indigo Revolt of 1859–60, where everyday resistance transformed into open defiance.

Tribal communities practiced unique forms of resistance grounded in cultural autonomy. During the Santhal Hul (1855–56) and Munda Ulgulan (1899–1900), everyday acts such as avoiding British-built roads, ignoring colonial regulations, and performing traditional songs about ancestral rights became tools of defiance (Sarkar, Modern India, 1989, pp. 115–118).

Even after major uprisings subsided, everyday resistance continued:

- shifting cultivation to avoid land surveys
- illegally collecting forest produce
- maintaining festivals banned by forest officials
- relocating villages beyond administrative reach

These practices reflected a refusal to internalize colonial notions of law and property. Women remained central to subtle forms of anti-colonial defiance. They:

- hid nationalist pamphlets under household items
- used coded songs to alert communities about police raids
- refused to allow colonial officers entry into courtyards
- participated in grain hoarding during famine and scarcity periods

For example, during the Swadeshi Movement in Bengal (1905–1911), women conducted small boycotts by refusing to purchase imported salt and textiles (Bose, *Peasant Labour and Colonial Capital*, 1995, pp. 63–65).

Their resistance, though less visible in archives, shaped the rhythm of nationalist mobilization. Rumors often carried political messages. During plague outbreaks in the 1890s, rumors circulated in north India that the British were poisoning wells. While factually incorrect, these rumors reflected deep mistrust of colonial authority (Amin, 1995, pp. 89–92). Rumors were not accidental—they were a strategic form of communication used by subaltern populations to challenge official narratives.

Tea plantation laborers in Assam and Bengal frequently resisted through:

- desertion
- slow work
- pretending illness
- damaging tools
- mass disappearance from worksites after payday

As noted by Rana P. Behal, plantation managers constantly complained of workers “vanishing at night” (Behal, *Coolie Labour in Assam*, 2006, pp. 52–56). These acts eroded plantation profitability and forced planters to modify labor regimes

During Gandhi’s Non-Cooperation Movement (1920–22), everyday acts of resistance expanded dramatically:

- villagers closed markets on specific days
- children refused colonial textbooks
- small traders declined to sell imported cloth
- peasants stopped greeting colonial officers respectfully

Such gestures, though nonviolent, destabilized the symbolic authority of the colonial state (Brown, *Gandhi's Rise*, 1972, pp. 131–134). The Quit India Movement witnessed an explosion of everyday rebellion:

- sabotaging telegraph wires
- destroying railway tracks
- cutting trees to block roads
- delivering food and shelter to underground activists

These actions often occurred without direct involvement of Congress leaders. Gyanendra Pandey notes that “the poor often acted with minimal leadership, guided instead by local grievances and anger” (Pandey, *The Ascendancy of the Congress*, 1978, pp. 204–207)

Peasants and local traders often resisted colonial taxation and price controls by:

- hiding grain from revenue officials
- manipulating weights and measures
- selling produce through underground networks
- substituting cheap grains during official inspections

These acts were risk-laden but necessary for survival. They highlight how economic resistance formed an undercurrent throughout colonial rule (Ray, *Bengal Agriculture*, 1979, pp. 178–180). During the Bengal partition protests, people sang folk songs that mocked Lord Curzon, disguising their political meaning in metaphor (Sen, *Popular Culture in Bengal*, 1998, pp. 215–218).

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that everyday resistance was not peripheral but central to the functioning and eventual unraveling of colonial rule. Far from being passive subjects, peasants, workers, women, tribal communities, and marginalized castes developed diverse strategies to challenge authority. These acts:

- weakened colonial administration
- undermined economic extraction
- created spaces of autonomy
- preserved cultural identity
- provided psychological empowerment

Everyday resistance reveals the depth of India's anti-colonial consciousness. It qualifies the notion that freedom was granted solely by elite leadership. Instead, India's freedom emerged from the daily struggles of millions whose names remain absent from official history but whose acts collectively destabilized the empire.

References

- Amin, Shahid. *Event, Metaphor, Memory: Chauri Chaura 1922–1992*. Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1995, pp. 41–92.
- Behal, Rana P. *Coolie Labour in Assam Tea Plantations*. Delhi: Oxford University Press, 2006, pp. 52–56.
- Bose, Sugata. *Peasant Labour and Colonial Capital*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995, pp. 63–65.
- Brown, Judith. *Gandhi's Rise to Power*. Cambridge University Press, 1972, pp. 131–134.
- Chaudhuri, S. B. *Civil Rebellion in Indian Mutinies*. Kolkata: World Press, 1957, pp. 92–94.
- Guha, Ranajit. *Subaltern Studies I*. Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1982, pp. 1–3.
- Guha, Ranajit. *Elementary Aspects of Peasant Insurgency in Colonial India*. Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1983, pp. 12–15.
- Hardiman, David. *Peasant Resistance in India*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1992, pp. 47–50.



- Pandey, Gyanendra. *The Ascendancy of the Congress in Uttar Pradesh*. Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1978, pp. 204–207.
- Ray, Rajat. *Bengal Agriculture, 1920–1946*. Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1979, pp. 178–180.
- Sarkar, Sumit. *Modern India: 1885–1947*. Delhi: Macmillan, 1989, pp. 115–118.
- Scott, James C. *Weapons of the Weak: Everyday Forms of Peasant Resistance*. Yale University Press, 1985, pp. 29–32.
- Sen, Dinesh Chandra. *Popular Culture in Bengal*. Kolkata: Firma KLM, 1998, pp. 215–218.