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ABSTRACT— This paper mainly focus on digital data safety. The proposed work is based on the selection of scaling factor, instead of using constant and randomly computed values with the variation of an image is considered. The scaling depends on distribution of pixel values in the cover image. Before this, by using Redundant Discrete Wavelet Transform (RDWT) & Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) transform the image is converted in transform domain. Later on any one of coefficient singular value is embedded with above chosen scaling factor. The performance of proposed work had compared with Robust blind watermarking scheme based on RDWT–SVD. using MSE, PSNR, NC & BER.
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I. INTRODUCTION:
Digital watermarking technique, embed the image or any information i.e. watermark into the digital media like image, audio & video. The hiding techniques are categorized either spatial or transform domain. In spatial domain, the watermark values are embedded directly on data. In the transform domain, the watermark embedding is by altering the transform coefficients. For example the transforms are; Finite Ridgelet Transform (FRIT), Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT), Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT), Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT), Redundant Discrete Wavelet Transform (RDWT) and the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD).

To improve the robustness and achieve the high imperceptibility, the watermarking is done by using either two or three transform techniques. This type of watermarking is called hybrid watermarking. Zhang XP[1] gives a watermarking scheme for protecting the rightful ownership based on Singular Value Decomposition (SVD). It gives some good results. He uses only one transform technique. F.J [2] gives the RDWT technique. G.E[3] gives the watermarking technique by using DWT and SVD. Later on mohammad A[8] gives an improved watermarking scheme based on SVD. Lai CC[12] implemented the watermarking scheme by using two or more transform techniques. He uses Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) and SVD. Later on L.s [13], Nasrin [19] produces watermarking scheme based on Redundant Discrete Wavelet Transform (RDWT) and SVD to get the improved results. This method gets improvements in terms of robustness and imperceptibility. The balance of the paper managed as preliminaries, proposed method,
II.A. REDUNDANT DISCRETE WAVELET TRANSFORM (RDWT):

One of the disadvantages of DWT is its shift variance property. It occurs due to the presence of down sampler. This shift variant causes major changes in the wavelet coefficients even for minor shifts in it. This leads an inappropriate extraction of watermark from watermarked image.

The RDWT [19] is established to overcome this disadvantage. The RDWT is shift invariant. Various RDWTs are Overcomplete DWT (ODWT), Undecimated DWT (UDWTP), shift invariant DWT (SDWT). The RDWT is obtained by eliminating down sampling operator for the usual implementation of DWT. With RDWT the size of sub bands is same as transformed image. The RDWT to an image like $f(i,j)$ can be implemented as below. Here $h(-k)$, $g(-k)$ are the low pass and high pass analysis filters, $h(k)$, $g(k)$ are low pass and high pass synthesis filters, and $c_j$, $d_j$ are low band and high band output coefficients at level $j$.

$$
h_{j[k]}=h_{j+1}[k]2$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$
g_{j[k]}=g_{j+1}[k]^\uparrow$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

1. RDWT analysis:

   $$c_j(k)=(c_j+1[k]*h_j[-k])$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

   and

   $$d_j(k)=(c_j+1[k]*g_j[-k])$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

2. RDWT synthesis:

   $$c_j+1[k]=12 (c_j[k]*h_j[k]+[k]*g_j[k])$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

II.B. SINGULAR VALUE DECOMPOSITION (SVD)

SVD [19] is a technique developed for a wide variety of applications. It is mainly used in image processing applications such as image hiding, image compression, noise reduction and image watermarking. Several watermarking approaches have been proposed based on the SVD. Due to the extensive computations when SVD is applying alone on an image, some hybrid SVD-based algorithms have been developed such as DWT-SVD, and RDWT–SVD.

SVD is a numerical analysis tool used to analyze matrices and it will be decomposed into three matrices. If $A$ is an image, it is indicated as $A \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$, where $\mathbb{R}$ represents the real number domain. Then, the SVD of $A$ is defined as follows

$$A = U S V^T$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$U$ and $V$ are orthogonal matrices where $U$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$, $S$ represents the diagonal matrix $S \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$ as shown below

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1,1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Singular values are the diagonal elements $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ of the matrix $S$. They satisfy: $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_r \geq 0 \geq \sigma_{r+1} \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_n$. Out of three matrices $(U$, $V$&$S$) the singular values $\sigma$ tells about brightness and stability of an image.
III. THE RDWT–SVD WATERMARKING PROCESS:

In this technique, RDWT is used together with SVD. The watermark embedding and watermark extraction are as follows and the flow of process is shown in figure 1&2:

III.A watermark embedding algorithm

- Perform 1-level RDWT on cover image ‘C’ to decompose into four sub-bands, which are LL, LH, HL and HH:

- Apply SVD to LH:

\[ [U \ S \ V] = svd(LH) \] (9)

- Modify the Singular Values ‘S’ by embedding watermark ‘W’ with a scaling factor ‘α’:

\[ S_{\text{new}} = S + \alpha W \] (10)

the scaling factor ‘α’ taken as the uniform distribution pdf of the cover image

\[ \alpha = f(c! a, b) = \frac{1}{b-a} I_{[a,b]}(c) \] (11)

‘a’ and ‘b’ are min and max pixel values of cover image

- Apply SVD to the modified singular value \( S_{\text{new}} \)

\[ [U_1 \ S_1 \ V_1] = \text{SVD}(S_{\text{new}}) \] (12)

- Perform Inverse SVD to get new sub band \( LH_{\text{new}} \)

\[ LH_{\text{new}} = U \ast S_1 \ast V_T \] (13)
IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

The proposed work is executed on MATLAB software with various images. They are gray scale images of size 512×512, identified as: Cameraman, lena, baboon, peppers. The watermark image is a gray-scale image of size 512×512. It is identified as Rice. Here the scaling factor (which is used for embedding watermark in host image) is taken as either uniform or Gaussian distribution pdf of host image, but in my work uniform distribution pdf is computed. The resultant images (host, watermark, watermarked, extracted watermark) of proposed work are shown in figures 3&4. The objective and subjective criteria to measure the quality of the watermarked image, and both should be good. One of the objective criteria is peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR).

$$\text{PSNR} = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\text{max}(C(i,j))^2}{MSE} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Where the Mean Square Error (MSE) between the host image ‘C’ and the watermarked image ‘W’ is defined as

$$\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} [C(i,j) - W(i,j)]^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

The robustness can be also evaluated by calculating the Normalized Cross-Correlation (NC), which indicates the similarity between the original watermark and the extracted watermark after attack. The NC is defined as:

$$\text{NC}(w, \bar{w}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} [w(i,j) - \mu_w][\bar{w}(i,j) - \mu_{\bar{w}}]}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} [w(i,j) - \mu_w]^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} [\bar{w}(i,j) - \mu_{\bar{w}}]^2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

Here N and M represent the number of pixels in watermark image ‘W’. Here $\mu_w, \mu_{\bar{w}}$ are indicate to the original watermark and the extracted watermark, and $\mu_w, \mu_{\bar{w}}$ are indicate mean of the original watermark and extracted watermark respectively. The correlation coefficient between w and $\bar{w}$, can be between −1 and 1. One of important parameter which gives the watermarking quality is structural similarity (SSIM). Which checks the similarity between original watermark (w) and extracted watermark ($\bar{w}$). The below is formula of SSIM.

$$\text{SSIM}(w, \bar{w}) = \frac{w.\bar{w}}{\sqrt{w.\bar{w}}.w.\bar{w}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)
The results shown in tables I & II are compared with Robust blind watermarking scheme based on RDWT–SVD. IN THIS TECHNIQUE scaling factor as a constant value for embedding watermark into the singular values of cover image.

![Image](image1.jpg)  
**Fig 3: result of proposed work on baboon image**

![Image](image2.jpg)  
**Fig 4: result of proposed work on cameraman image**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Name</th>
<th>proposed work</th>
<th>[19]</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>MSE</th>
<th>PSNR</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>BER</th>
<th>SSIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cameraman</td>
<td>1.25e-04</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>86.1375</td>
<td>55.7388</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9942</td>
<td>1.43e-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baboon</td>
<td>1.18e-04</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>86.7296</td>
<td>56.2279</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9897</td>
<td>1.00e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>1.45e-04</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>86.4902</td>
<td>54.7264</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9929</td>
<td>0.99e-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peppers</td>
<td>1.48e-04</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>86.4111</td>
<td>54.3229</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9934</td>
<td>0.99e-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig 5: Noise attacks and extracted images under salt & pepper(0.001), salt & pepper(0.01), salt & pepper(0.1), salt & pepper(0.5), speckle(0.3), speckle(0.5), Gaussian(0.0.01), Gaussian(0.05) for (a) cameraman, (b) peppers, (c) lena, (d) baboon respectively

V. CONCLUSION
In this work transform domain techniques are used to embed the watermark into the digital data image. The novelty of work concentrated for the computation of scaling factor ‘α’. In this work uniform distribution pdf is used as one of methods for generation of ‘α’ value. By using this proposed method the PSNR, MSE and NC values are improved. The values are (86.1375, 0.00012 & 1 for cameraman), (86.7296, 0.00013 & 1 for baboon) and (86.4902, 0.00014 & 1 for lena). It is concluded that the embedding and extraction of the proposed method shows the improvement over the existing method based on PSNR, MSE and NC values.
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